## A 5 month audit and outcomes at Mt Hagen Provincial Hospital Pediatric Department

By Dr Clyde Kamo

# AIMS

 This study aims to look at the outcomes following the implementation of regular systematic death reviews at Hagen Hospital pediatric unit over a 5 month period.

# Auditing

- The systematic and critical analysis of the quality of medical care including the procedures used for diagnosis and treatment, the use of resources and the resulting outcome[1]
- Something that has been lacking
- Effective tool to improve quality

### The Audit Cycle

Step 1: Identify cases

Step 6: Monitor and evaluate

Step 5: Implement action plans, make changes Step 2: Collect information

Step 3: Identify causes of death or adverse event. Identify modifiable factors

Step 4: Recommend solutions and actions

[5]

# Mt Hagen Hospital

- More than 3000 admissions last year
- Clinical governance meetings started in 2016
- Audits formally carried out monthly in 2018.
- Audit carried out patients in COPD, SCN and main Pediatric ward

## Methods

- Weekly death reviews-3 registrars and SMO
- All deaths required to have been signed and WHO death reporting form filled.
- All avoidable deaths and special points of mention compiled to be discussed
- Selected perinatal deaths discussed with the O&G team.

• Modifiable factors categorised Public Health Factors, Home factors, Hospital Factors

• All points made during meets summarised into action summary form.

	PNG DEPARTME	NT OF HEA	LTH		
Diana	CHILD DEATH F	<b>REVIEW FC</b>	DRM		
or hospital. Also complete	ides of this form whenever a chi	ld or newborn dies ir	the community, a health centre		
Name of child who die	ete an official death certificate.	Data of birth:	Age: yrs mths days		
		Date of birth:	Age		
1 Male	Weight:	Date of death:			
2 Female	Kg	1 1	Time of death: am/pm		
Province:	District:		Village / town:		
Name of health facility	reporting the death:				
1. Place of death:	the construction of the second	2. Days child w	as sick before presentation:		
Hospital	1 Hospital		days		
2 Health center			to 1 A designations		
3 Home / village		3. Date of Hospital Admission:			
4 in-transit to health ta	acility	11			
	of what happened to the child	Mardown ameri Mardown ameri	entant active to far-brainstration and a solution of a		
5 Distance and time t	of what happened to the child	cility:km	hrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child	icility:km	hrs		
5. Distance and time t 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child	cility:km	hrs nsport or referral		
5. Distance and time t 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility	icility:km 8. Delay in trai	hrs nsport or referral		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport 7. Was child referred f □ 0 No □, Yes (which one)	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility	icility: km 8. Delay in trat ⊡₀ No □, Yes (why)_	hrs nsport or referral		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility ty been an inpatient?	icility: km 8. Delay in trat □₀ No □, Yes (why)_	hrs nsport or referral		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility tty been an inpatient?	icility:km 8. Delay in trat □ No □, Yes (why)_	hrshrshrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility ty been an inpatient? s ago was the child discharged	icility:km	hrshrshrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility ty been an inpatient?	iciliity:km 8. Delay in tran □₀ No □₁ Yes (why)_ 11. Mother att	hrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility ty been an inpatient? is ago was the child discharged in 17)	icility: km 8. Delay in trac □ No □, Yes (why)_ 3) 11. Mother att	hrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility thy been an inpatient? Is ago was the child discharged of 17)	s. Delay in trat <b>8. Delay in trat</b> <b>0.</b> No <b>11. Mother att</b>	hrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility ty been an inpatient? is ago was the child discharged in 17) f labour	s. Delay in tran       8. Delay in tran       0. No       1. Yes (why)       11. Mother att       before the	ended antenatal care: times were the membranes ruptured baby was born: hrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility tly been an inpatient? is ago was the child discharged in 17) f labour	icility:      km         8. Delay in trat         □₀ No         □, Yes (why)         d)         11. Mother att         13. How long         before the	ended antenatal care: times were the membranes ruptured baby was born:hrs		
5. Distance and time to 6. Mode of transport	of what happened to the child raveled to reach the health fa rom another health facility ty been an inpatient? Is ago was the child discharged in 17)	icility:       km         8. Delay in tran         0. No         1. Yes (why)_         11. Mother att         13. How long before the         14. Duration of	ended antenatal care: times were the membranes ruptured baby was born:hrs		

Thank you for completing both sides of this form. The information you provide will be used to improve the health services for children.

24. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av 0 No 25. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA 0 No 17 yes, please write full details of <i>where</i> improvement Home or community: 9-rimary care or referral system: Hospital:	railable at the time the child presented?
24. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av <sub>0</sub> No 55. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA <sub>0</sub> No 17 yes, please write full details of <i>where</i> improvement tome or community: trimary care or referral system: tospital:	railable at the time the child presented?
4. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ] <sub>0</sub> No 5. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA ] <sub>0</sub> No If yes, please write full details of <i>where</i> improvement nome or community: rimary care or referral system: ospital:	railable at the time the child presented?
4. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ]No 5. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA ]No f yes, please write full details of <i>where</i> improvement iome or community: rimary care or referral system:	railable at the time the child presented?
4. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ] <sub>0</sub> No 5. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA ] <sub>0</sub> No f yes, please write full details of <i>where</i> improvement iome or community: rimary care or referral system:	railable at the time the child presented?
4. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ]₀ No 15. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA 2₀ No If yes, please write full details of <i>where</i> improvement tome or community:	railable at the time the child presented?
14. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ] <sub>0</sub> No 15. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA ] <sub>0</sub> No 17 yes, please write full details of <i>where</i> improvement home or computibly:	railable at the time the child presented?
24. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ☐₀ No 25. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA ☐₀ No Hore preserved to full details of <i>where</i> improvement	railable at the time the child presented?
24. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ☐₀ No 15. WAS THIS CHILD'S DEATH POSSIBLY AVOIDA	railable at the time the child presented?
24. Were any treatments you wanted to give <i>not</i> av ]₀ No	railable at the time the child presented?
4. Were any treatments you wanted to give not av	vailable at the time the child presented?
23. Were there any complications of treatment? (sp	pecify)
and the child receive? (list	an the reactions that were giveny
22 What TREATMENTS did the shild on the Office	all the treatments that were given)
21. What environmental or social factors were invo	blved?
illness)	Automatic or her store in both to set at an automatic
Underlying diagnosis (e.g. a shrenis	Other diagnoses
ueatri	-
Primary diagnosis leading to	Other diagnoses
not included):	tandardized diagnoses on the PHR, or add another diagnosis in
20 List the Discourses	U. DUD
19. Investigations done	Severe mainutation (<-> 2-scores or KwashiorKor)
No vaccines ever received	Moderate malnutrition (-2 to -3 Z-scores)
Some vaccines masked by the	length)
Vaccine Status	18. Nutritional status
LJ9 Unknown	
La Home / village	
LI2 Health center	at 5 minutes
Hospital	at 1 minute
a Home / village b Unknown b Unknown c Vaccines Status b Vaccines up to date for age b Vaccines received but not complete for age b No vaccines ever received l No vaccines ever received l. Investigations done l Unkthenel Values even	

# VJ [09WHP20811]

- Female, DOB 21/05/17. Died 08/05/19
- Residing; Anglimp, Originally from Enga
- Admitted; 02/5/19
- Weight; 8.5Kg WFA; <-2
- Cause of death; Hypoglycemia, Hypothermia. SAM
- PC; >1/12 recurrent watery stools, appetite loss

- Presented multiple times to COPD and local health centre for diarrhea, symptoms persisted.
- Dehydrated on exam, absent tears, slow capillary refill. Puffy, bipedal oedema and swollen face.
- Inx; FBE; WCC increased, Hb 7.3 g/dl (microcytic, hypochromic), low platelets.
- Rx; Initial; Amoxyl, Gentamycin, then Ceftriaxone. TB FDC started after CXR showed patchy infiltrates.F75 milk feeds started on the 4/05 after diarrhea settled.

#### • Population Health Factors

- Mum not on any family planning.
- Boiling water education with Hygiene and sanitation measures.
- Home Factors;
- Neglected child (mother 4/12 pregnant, left child with grandmother). Early weaning at 5/12 and started on solids 3/12.
- Parents separated.
- Exposed to PTB at home. Grandmother's sister diagnosed with PTB. Overcrowding.

- Hospital Factors;
- Early admission may have prevented death
- UECs unavailable at the time, No BSL regular.
- No heaters available in ward 6.

# Results

- Had 5 month unit audits, 12 weekly death reviews, 1 peri-natal death audit
- Total of 1856 admissions. (658 SCN)
- Total of 84 deaths (50 SCN)
- 59 deemed Unavoidable (37 SCN)
- Overall mortality rate 4.52%
  - Ward 6-2.83%
  - SCN- 7.59%

### 5 Month MR by months

Month	6A	Deaths	MR	SCN	Deaths	MR	<b>Tota</b> ,	Deaths	Overall MR
March	277	8	2.88	139	9	6.47	416	17	4.08
April	238	4	1.68	137	11	8.02	375	15	4
May	216	10	4.62	144	13	9.02	360	23	6.38
June	246	6	2.43	128	8	6.25	374	14	3.74
July	221	6	2.71	110	9	8.18	331	15	4.53
TOTAL	1198	34	2.83	658	50	7.59	1856	84	4.52

### **Common Admissions 6A**



#### **COMMON ADMISSIONS SCN**



### CASE FATALITY RATES

	Total Deaths	Total Admissions	MR
RVI	9	18	50.00
SBA	13	53	24.53
LBW	13	81	16.05
Cord Sepsis	3	20	15.00
ABM	6	41	14.63
SAM	7	77	9.09
Congenital Defects	6	73	8.22
Sev PNA	8	204	3.92
Typhoid	2	112	1.79

# Modifiable factors identified

- Delayed presentation 19
- Insufficient diagnostic and therapeutic 18
- Home delivery 14
- Insufficient investigations 8
- Water supply 7
- Unbooked 6
- Readmissions 5

# Action plans-Ward Level

- Improved antibiotic stewardship
- More relevant information at admissions, recording
- Investigations only where appropriate
- Acquire heaters, improved monitoring
- Avoid overnight discharges
- Cord care in Newborns

### **Action Plans**

- RVI exposed children-Working to have Virology done locally, less time waiting
- Running HIV clinics with Hilary Clinic
- Extension of Burnett institute chlorhexidine research to include -Cord care

# Discussion

- Sometimes meetings not carried out weekly
- Staff shortage
- Peri-natal audits
- Include other members; pharmacists, lab scientists, records
- Assessment and follow up outcomes of action plans

# Conclusion

- Auditing a vital tool to improve quality of patient care
- Needs commitment, good available data.
- Provides credible grounds for which action can be taken

# References

- 1. Ronsmans. C. What is the evidence for the role of audits to improve the quality of obstetric care. Maternal and Child Epidemiology Unit, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK
- 2. Sandakabatu M, Duke T, Nasi T, Titiulu C. Evaluating the process and outcomes of child health review in the Solomon Islands. Arch Dis Child 2018
- 3. Fitzgerald E, Mlotha-Mitole R, et al. A pediatric death audit in a large referral hospital in Malawi. BMC Pediatrics 2018 18:75
- 4. Duke T, Micheal A, Frank D, et al. Etiology of child mortality in Goroka, Papua New Guinea: a prospective two-year study. Bull WHO 2002;80:16-25
- 5. Operational Guide for facility based audit and review of paediatric mortality. WHO 2016
- 6. Musafili A, et al. Case review of perinatal deaths at hospitals in Kigali, Rwanda: perinatal audit with application of a three-delays analysis. BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth (2017) 17:85
- 7. Ripa P. Notes on Western Highlands Provincial Health Authority Restructure.2019
- 8. Pediatric Audit Data 2018

## Acknowledgements

- Drs Ripa, Kaupa, Kurubi, Jeff, Kintwa
- Sr Hagari, Nentipa, Gira, Paul, Wari, Kaweya
- RMOs, RHEOs, other clinical Staff
- Professor Trevor Duke